ı	1	
1	David S. Grossman, WSBA #18428	
	Robert R. King, WSBA #29309	
2	Minnick-Hayner	
3	P.O. Box 1757 Walla Walla, WA 99362	
4	(509) 527-3500	
5	E-mail: grossman@minnickhayner.com	
6	robert@minnickhayner.com	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff Mark A. Morgan	
	Attorneys for Framtiff Wark A. Worgan	
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	EASTERN DISTRICT	TOF WASHINGTON
11		
12	MARK A. MORGAN, d/b/a	
13	MORGAN INDUSTRIES PAVING	Case No.
14	AND LANDSCAPING,	
15	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT AND DEMAND
	vs.	FOR JURY TRIAL
16		
17	HARRY JOHNSON PLUMBING & EXCAVATION, INC., a Washington	
18	corporation; COBURN	
19	CONTRACTORS, LLC, an Alabama	
20	limited liability company,	
21	HARTFORD FIRE INSURANCE	
22	COMPANY, a Connecticut company, and	
	NATIONWIDE MUTUAL	
23	INSURANCE COMPANY, an Ohio	
24	company,	
25	Defendants.	
26	Defendants.	
27		
,,		

COMPLAINT - Page 1

COMPLAINT – Page 2

COMES NOW Plaintiff Mark A. Morgan, dba Morgan Industries Paving and Landscaping, by and through its counsel of record, Robert R. King of Minnick Hayner, and for its complaint against Defendants Harry Johnson Plumbing & Excavation, Inc. and Coburn Contractors, LLC, Hartford Fire Insurance Company, and Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company (collectively, "Defendants") does state and allege as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. Jurisdiction of this Court is based upon and conferred by § 3133 of Title 40 of the United States Code.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff Mark A. Morgan d/b/a Morgan Industries Paving and Landscaping ("Morgan") is and at all times material hereto was and is an individual residing the State of Idaho and doing business within the State of Washington.
- 3. Defendant Harry Johnson Plumbing and Excavation, Inc. ("HJPE") at all times herein mentioned was and is a Washington corporation with its principal place of business in Walla Walla, Washington.
- 4. Defendant Coburn Contractors, LLC ("Coburn") is and at all times material hereto was an Alabama limited liability company with its principal place of

business in Montgomery, Alabama.

COMPLAINT – Page 3

5. Defendant Hartford Fire Insurance Company ("Hartford") is and at all times material hereto was a Connecticut company.

- 6. Defendant Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company ("Nationwide") is and at all times material hereto was an Ohio company.
- 7. The agreements hereinafter referred to were performed within the territorial jurisdiction of this Court.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Breach of Contract – Against Coburn)

- 8. Morgan repeats herein by this reference Paragraphs 1 through 7, inclusive, as if said paragraphs were set forth hereat in full.
- 9. Morgan is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that on or about June 9, 2016, the United States of America, acting by and through the Department of Veterans Affairs, and Coburn entered into a written contract ("Prime Contract"), whereby Coburn agreed to furnish certain labor and materials and perform certain work required in connection with the replacement of the water system and work related thereto at the Jonathan M. Wainwright VA Medical Center, Walla Walla, Washington ("the Project").
- 10. Subsequent to the formation of the Prime Contract, Coburn and HJPE entered into an agreement ("Subcontract"), whereby HJPE agreed to provide certain

labor, materials and services to Coburn in connection with the performance of the Project in return for payment of the contract price.

- 11. Subsequent to the formation of the subcontract, Morgan and HJPE entered into an agreement ("Sub-Subcontract") whereby Morgan agreed to provide certain labor, materials and services to HJPE in connection with the construction of the project in return for payment of the contract price.
- 12. Between approximately July 2016 and April 2018, inclusive, Morgan did furnish, deliver and provide certain labor, material and services in connection with the performance of the Sub-Subcontract. All of the labor, material and services furnished by Morgan were to be used and were actually used in connection with the Project.
- 13. Morgan has performed all of the work required under the Sub-Subcontract and has performed all conditions, covenants and promises required on its part to be performed in accordance with the terms of the Sub-Subcontract, except as the same have been excused, waived and/or prevented by HJPE, Coburn and/or employees or agents of the same.
- 14. Throughout the Project, Morgan repeatedly encountered unforeseen underground site conditions causing Morgan to incur additional labor, materials, and expenses beyond the scope of the Sub-Subcontract for which it is entitled to be paid

employees, directed Morgan to perform additional work beyond the scope of the

Sub-Subcontract for which it is entitled to be paid additional amounts above and

During the Project HJPE and/or Coburn, or their respective agents or

Morgan performed its work in accordance with all contractual

2

15.

16.

beyond the Sub-Subcontract Price.

4

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

26

2728

requirements, including but not limited to any requirements to provide notice to HJPE and/or its employees or agents.

additional amounts above and beyond the Sub-Subcontract price.

- 17. HJPE breached the Subcontract by refusing to pay Morgan in full for the labor, materials and services provided by Morgan. Morgan has suffered damages due to HJPE's non-payment in an amount to be proven at trial but not less than \$100,000.
- 18. Morgan has been required to retain the services of an attorney to bring this suit and is entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees incurred pursuant to, *inter alia*, the Sub-Subcontract and the revised Code of Washington § 4.84.330, in connection with prosecuting this action.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Quantum Meruit Against HJPE)

19. Morgan repeats herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 18, inclusive, as if said paragraphs were set forth hereat

in full.

- 20. In connection with the Project, Morgan supplied certain labor, materials, and services to Defendant HJPE upon Defendant HJPE's request and promise to pay the reasonable value thereof.
- 21. Morgan is entitled to be paid for the reasonable value of said labor, materials, and services furnished by Morgan at Defendant HJPE's request for which payment has not been received.
- 22. Morgan has suffered damages due to HJPE's non-payment in an amount to be proven at trial but not less than \$100,000.
- 23. Morgan has been required to retain the services of an attorney to bring this suit and is entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in bringing this suit, pursuant to, Washington law.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Unjust Enrichment Against HJPE)

- 24. Morgan repeats herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 22, inclusive, as if said paragraphs were set forth hereat in full.
- 25. The labor, materials, and services furnished by Morgan were furnished to Defendant HJPE in furtherance of the Project. Morgan is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges that Defendant HJPE has billed for, has demanded payment

from, and has received payment from the Department of Veteran's Affairs for labor, materials, and services furnished by Morgan at the Project. Defendant HJPE has thereby been unjustly enriched at the expense of Morgan and to Morgan's detriment.

- 26. Defendant HJPE has been unjustly enriched in an amount to be proven at trial that is currently unknown but estimated to be in excess of one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00) plus interest thereon at the maximum rate allowed by law, and Morgan is entitled to recover such sums from Defendant HJPE.
- 27. Morgan has been required to retain the services of an attorney to bring this suit and is entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees incurred pursuant to, *inter alia*, Washington law.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION(Miller Act Payment Bond – Nationwide)

- 28. Morgan repeats herein by this reference Paragraphs 1 through 26, inclusive, as if said paragraphs were set forth hereat in full.
- 29. Pursuant to an act of Congress known as the Miller Act and codified as §§ 3131, et seq., of Title 40 of the United States Code, Coburn, as principal, and Nationwide, as surety, duly executed and delivered to the United States of America through its agents a Labor and Material/Payment Bond. Said Payment Bond was to assure payment of the claims of all persons supplying labor and materials in the prosecution of the work identified under the aforementioned Prime Contract.

- 30. Based upon the failure of HJPE to make payment as before-said, Morgan informed Nationwide of its claim and demanded payment thereof.
- 31. Nationwide has failed and refused to pay any part of said sum despite Morgan's demand.
- 32. By reason of the failure of Nationwide to pay Morgan as alleged hereinabove, Nationwide owes Morgan the sum to be proven at trial but not less than \$100,000.00, and Morgan has suffered damages in no less than said sum.
- 33. One (1) year has not elapsed from the date upon which Morgan last furnished labor, materials or services to the Project.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Miller Act Payment Bond – Hartford)

- 34. Morgan repeats herein by this reference Paragraphs 1 through 30, inclusive, as if said paragraphs were set forth hereat in full.
- 35. Pursuant to an act of Congress known as the Miller Act and codified as §§ 3131, *et seq.*, of Title 40 of the United States Code, HJPE, as principal, and Hartford, as surety, duly executed and delivered to Coburn through its agents a Labor and Material/Payment Bond. Said Payment Bond was to assure payment of the claims of all persons supplying labor and materials in the prosecution of the work identified under the aforementioned Sub-Contract.
 - 36. Based upon the failure of HJPE to make payment as before-said,

Morgan informed Hartford of its claim and demanded payment thereof.

- 37. Hartford has failed and refused to pay any part of said sum despite Morgan's demand.
- 38. By reason of the failure of Hartford to pay Morgan as alleged hereinabove, Hartford owes Morgan the sum of no less than \$100,000.00, and Morgan has suffered damages in no less than said sum.
- 39. One (1) year has not elapsed from the date upon which Morgan last furnished labor, materials or services to the Project.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Morgan prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- A. For the principal sum of in an amount to be proven at trial but not less than \$100,000 together with interest thereon as allowed by law;
 - B. For reasonable attorneys' fees;
 - C. For costs of suit herein; and
 - D. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

1 **DATED** this 25th day of September 2018. 2 3 MINNICK•HAYNER, P.S. 4 5 6 By: /s/ David S. Grossman DAVID S. GROSSMAN, WSBA# 18428 7 E-mail: grossman@minnickhayner.com 8 9 By: /s/ Robert R. King 10 ROBERT R. KING, WSBA #29309 11 E-mail: robert@minnickhayner.com 12 13 Of Attorneys for Plaintiff 14 249 West Alder Street P. O. Box 1757 15 Walla Walla, Washington 99362 16 Telephone: (509) 527-3500 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

COMPLAINT - Page 10

28

27

28

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Morgan hereby demand a trial by a jury of not less than twelve (12) persons on all issues raised in this action.

DATED this 25th day of September 2018.

MINNICK•HAYNER, P.S.

By: /s/ David S. Grossman

DAVID S. GROSSMAN, WSBA# 18428 E-mail: grossman@minnickhayner.com

By: /s/ Robert R. King

ROBERT R. KING, WSBA #29309 E-mail: robert@minnickhayner.com

Of Attorneys for Plaintiff 249 West Alder Street P. O. Box 1757

Walla Walla, Washington 99362 Telephone: (509) 527-3500